## Topic chains and the interpretation of null subjects. A parametric approach to consistent and partial pro-drop languages

Mara Frascarelli University of Roma Tre

**1. Introduction and goal.** This paper explores the licensing conditions governing the realization of referential null subjects in a consistent pro-drop language like Italian, comparing the interpretation of null and overt pronouns in different clausal types. A comparison is also offered with some 'partial' pro-drop languages (cf. Holmberg et al 2009) like Finnish and Russian.

**2. Background for the analysis.** Based on Frascarelli & Hinterhölzl's (2007) interface analysis of Topics, Frascarelli (2007) provides evidence that in a language like Italian a thematic *pro* in preverbal position receives a value (i.e., a referential index) from the local A[boutness-Shift]-Topic. A *Topic Criterion* is thus proposed that correlates core grammar with discourse requirements. Following this Criterion, the high Topic field in the C-domain contains a position in which the [+aboutness] feature (an "extended EPP feature") is encoded and matched (via Agree) by the local (3<sup>rd</sup> person) null subject.

Crucially, Topic maintenance across sentences implies the existence of *Topic chains* and *silent A-Topics*: when continuous the A-Topic is not overtly realized; rather, a silent (1b) or low-toned (1c) G[iven]-Topic matches the [+aboutness] feature and enters an Agree relation with the local *pro*:

- (1) a. Gianni<sub>k</sub>, ha detto che **pro**<sub>k</sub>/lui<sub>k</sub> (L\*) ha comprato una casa. 'John<sub>k</sub> said that (he<sub>k</sub>)/he<sub>k</sub> bought a house.'
- b. [ShiftP Giannik [IP prok ha detto [ForcePche [FamP<Giannik>[IP prok ha comprato una casa]]]]]]
- c.  $[_{\text{ShiftP}} Gianni_k [_{\text{IP}} \operatorname{pro}_k ha \ detto [_{\text{ForceP}} \ che [_{\text{FamP}} \ lui_k [_{\text{IP}} \ pro_k \ ha \ comprato \ una \ casa]]]]]$

Later investigation on the interpretation of different types of Topics in diverse clause types led Bianchi & Frascarelli (2010) to propose an *Interface Root Restriction* (IRR), according to which IS-phenomena that affect the conversational dynamics (CG management, Krifka 2007) must occur in clauses endowed with illocutionary force that implement a conversational move. This is exactly the case of A-Topics, as they trigger an update of the discourse context. The prediction is that A-Topic chains can only be started from a root (-like) C-domain.

**3. Silent A-Topics.** Assuming the Topic Criterion and the IRR, this paper deals with new data as, for instance, the apparent possibility that a *pro* can take as its antecedent an element that is not a Topic but, rather, a DP that is in the Comment (or the Focus) of the previous sentence:

- (2) *Vorrei presentarti* <u>Leo<sub>k</sub>, pro<sub>k</sub> è il mio migliore amico.</u>
  - 'I'd like to introduce Leo to you. (He) is my best friend.'

It will be argued that cases like (2) do not challenge the Topic Criterion; rather, they show that in a consistent NS language like Italian an A-Topic can be silent not only if continuous, but also when heading a Topic chain. Hence, in (2) a silent A-Topic <Leo> must be assumed in the local C-domain of the juxtaposed sentence.

**4. The parametric approach.** Based on the data analysed, the Topic Criterion will be reformulated in the form of a "Macroparameter" (cf. Biberauer et al 2010) of NS languages, attributing cross-linguistic variation to mesoparameters dependent on interface restrictions.

## **References.**

**Bianchi, V. & M. Frascarelli**. 2010. Is topic a root phenomenon? *Iberia* 2, 43-88. **Biberauer, T., A. Holmberg, I. Roberts and M. Sheehan (eds.).** (2010). Parametric variation: Null subjects in Minimalist theory. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. **Frascarelli, M.** 2007. Subjects, Topics and the Interpretation of Referential pro. *NLLT*, 25:691-734. **Frascarelli, M. & Roland Hinterhölzl** 2007. Types of Topics in German and Italian. In Winkler, S. & K. Schwabe (eds.) *On Information Structure, Meaning and Form*, 87-116. Amsterdam: Benjamins. **Holmberg, A. et al** 2009. Three partial Null Subject languages: a comparison of Brazilian Portuguese, Finnish and Marathi. *Studia Linguistica* 63:59-97.